

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/992,614	ROTSTEIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jay P. Patel	2666	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jay P. Patel.

(2) Anthony Sitko.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 February 2005

**Time:** 11:20 a.m. est

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*1, 2, 8 and 15*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Minor Informalities regarding the above-mentioned claims were discussed and authorization was given to amend the claims through an examiner's amendment; details of the amendment are stated in the examiner's amendment section of the office action*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)